PROCEEDINGS OF THE LICENSING AUTHORITY AND ADDITIONAL DIRECTOR OF AGRICULTURE (SEEDS), O/o C & DA, ANDHRA PRADESH, GUNTUR. Present: J.Ravi Kumar B.Sc (Ag)

Proc No.AGC02-12027(31)33/2018-Seed Sec Date: -01-2019

Sub: Seed Regulation Cell – Seeds Act, 1966- Seed (Control) Order, 1983Drawl of Cotton seed sample from the premises of M/s Kurnool seeds Pvt
Ltd, Kurnool –Produced by M/s Sri Rama Agri Genetics Pvt Ltd, Kurnool
DNA Lab Analytical report shows Positive to Herbicide tolerant (HT) –
Show cause notice issued to M/s Sri Rama Agri Genetics India Pvt Ltd,
Kurnool - Approached the Hon'ble High Court- Three weeks stay granted
by High Court- explanation submitted by the firm- personal Hearing
conducted- Explanation submitted by the firm- Reasoned Order issued -Reg.

Ref: 1. Interim Direction from Court on WP No.31342/2018 dt: 31-08-2018.

- 2. Reply to show cause notice by the firm M/s Sri Rama Agri Genetics Pvt Ltd, Kurnool dt:19/09/2018
- 3. Lr.No. AGC02-12027(31)33/2018 dt: 01-11-2018 by Licensing Authority, O/o C & DA, A.P, Guntur.
- 4. Reply to Personal Hearing by the firm M/s Sri Rama Agri Genetics Pvt Ltd, Kurnool dt:16-11-2018.

ORDER

Aggrieved by the order of the undersigned in giving show cause notice the petitioner producer viz M/ Sri Rama Agri Genetics India Pvt Ltd, Kurnool filed W.P No.31342/2018 on the file of High Court of A.P Telangana and Andhra Pradesh at Hyderabad. The Hon'ble High Court was pleased to direct the petitioner and granted three (3) weeks time to file the explanation to the show cause notice and after considering the same the Licensing Authority was directed to pass a reasoned order in the matter.

Accordingly the Licensing Authority had also conducted the personal hearing with the petitioner vide reference 3rd cited.

In the reference 2nd & 4th cited the petitioner firm M/s Sri Rama Agri Genetics India Pvt Ltd, Kurnool submitted explanation to the show cause notice and to the personal hearing provided by the Licensing Authority.

In their explanation and personal hearing the petitioner –producer submitted the following aspects...

- 1. They have not contravened the provisions of the Section 6, 7 of Seeds Act, 1966 read with section 21, 23 and Clause 3, 8A of Seeds (Control) Order, 1983.
- 2. They submitted that there is no sampling procedure developed and notified by the competent authorities for sampling of leaf or seed. Similarly there is no approved protocol to determine the presence or absence of HT gene in a leaf or seed sample. For doing the same in a proper manner, a statutory laboratory shall be established and should be made obligated to follow the approved procedure as notified by the Government.
- 3. The Licensing Authority under the provisions of Clause 11 of the Seeds (Control) Order, 1983 is not a notified authority for purpose of EP Act, 1986 or Rules made there under.
- 4. The report of ADA, DNA Lab was submitted without following the approved procedure while analyzing the sample under the statue.
- 5. The sample which was tested positive for the Herbicide Tolerant trait with unapproved MON 88913 event was case of mere contamination and not a deliberate action of seed production of unapproved HT Cotton.
- 6. They are the victims of wrongful acts committed by others. The owner f this particular technology has not taken proper precautionary measures and also because of their wrongful research activities this particular unapproved gene was released into the environment and simultaneously contaminated the planting material developed propagated by them.
- 7. The sample and testing protocols have not been approved and notified even now and, due to widespread cultivation, contamination has become a major threat and they face an unevitable situation to protect their valuable planting material developed/ propagated by them from contamination.

Before passing order, as it is to be observed that the GOI has instructed the states to inspect all the sale ,storage ,production and processing plants to curb illegal & unapproved HT Cotton with presence of CP4EPSPS gene with MON 88913 event in cotton seed at any stage in production , processing , sale & storage.

On the direction of PMO the Central Government has constituted a Field Investigation & Scientific Evaluation Committee (FISEC) to look into the illegal cultivation of HT Cotton in the Country.

The FISEC Committee has submitted its report and it confirmed illegal cultivation of HT cotton in India is not due to natural crossing but due to years of skilled breeding.

Presence of HT is deliberate. This cannot be called as contamination. Taking their aspect into consideration the claim of the firm that the presence of HT trait in cotton hybrid seed preparing to deliver of Magic BG II (SRCH -99) bearing lot nos.845-51111, 845-51112 & 845-51068 is due to contamination is not correct and not convincing.

- Section 14 of Seed Act 1966 deals with **powers of Seed Inspector**.
- As per 14 (1) a (ii) of the Seed Act, 1966 the Seed Inspector is empowered to draw the samples from any area.

14(1) The Seed Inspector may

- a. Take samples of any seed of any notified kind or variety from
 - (iv) Any person selling such seed; or
 - (v) Any person who is in the course of conveying, delivering or preparing to deliver such seeds to a purchaser or consignee
 - (vi) A purchaser or a consignee after delivery of such seed to him.
- b. Send such samples for analysis in the Seed Analyst for the area within which such sample has been taken;

It is to submit that the show cause issued by the Licensing Authority as per The Seeds Act, 1966 & The Seeds(Control) Order, 1983 but not as per the Environmental Protection Act, 1986 & E.P Rules 1989 as replied by the firm M/s Sri Rama Agri Genetics Pvt Ltd, Kurnool.

The petitioner firm without shouldering the responsibility has simply thrown burden on Environment and Technology developer and escaped from the real facts of the case.

The analysis report obtained from the DNA fingerprinting and Transgenic Crops Monitoring Laboratory (DFTCML), Guntur is authorized and in exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-Section (1) of Section 4 of the Seeds Act, 1966 read with clause (c) of Rule 5 of the Seeds Rules, 1968, the Central Government declared the DNA Finger Printing and Transgenic Crops Monitoring Lab (DFTCML), Guntur (Andhra Pradesh) as the National Referral Laboratory, to detect the presence or absence of Living Modified Organisms and Genetically Modified Organisms, under the said act with effect from 15.11.2017 vide S.O.3604 (E) i.e., the date of publication of the notification in the official gazette.

The functions of the Central seed laboratory under rule 5 of Rules THE SEEDS RULES, 1968 under Seed Act, 1966 (Act No. 54 of 1966) are reproduced here under

Functions: - In addition to the functions entrusted to the /central Seed Laboratory by the Act, the Laboratory shall carry out the following functions, namely;

- a. Initiate testing programmes in collaboration with the State Seed Laboratories designed to promote uniformity in test results between all seed laboratories in India;
- b. Collect data continually on the quality of seeds found in the market and make this data available to the Committee: and

c. Carry out such other functions as may be assigned to it by the Central Government from time to time.

The methodology being adopted in case of unapproved Herbicide tolerant Cotton is similar to the testing procedures communicated by Govt of India vide OM No.2-6/2012-SD IV dt: 16-09-2015 of GOI, MoA &FW, New Delhi in case of Bt Cotton (approved transgenic). Dip-stick Strip Test, Elisa test and PCR test are the allowed methods for detecting approved Transgenic Bt Cotton and the same methods are used for testing HT Cotton or any unapproved Transgenic Crop.

The DNA Lab report clearly showed the presence of CP4 EPSPS gene with MON 88913 Herbicide Tolerant trait which is not approved by GEAC for commercial cultivation in India.

The seed lots preparing to deliver of the firm M/s Sri Rama Agri Genetics India Pvt Ltd, Kurnool for the cotton hybrid Magic BG II (SRCH -99) bearing lot nos.845-51111, 845-51112 & 845-51068 must be positive for Cry1Ac and Cry2Ab with MON 15985 event only as per GEAC approval. Whereas sample contain CP4EPSPS gene with MON 88913 Herbicide Tolerant Trait (HT) which is not approved by GEAC (Genetic Engineering Approval Committee) for commercial cultivation in India.

So the firm M/s Sri Rama Agri Genetics India Pvt Ltd, Kurnool has contravened and violated the provisions of Section 6, 7 of Seeds Act, 1966 read with section 21, 23 and Clause 3, 8A of the Seeds (Control) Order, 1983.

Considering the personal hearing of the petitioner-producer and the claim of the petitioner namely M/ Sri Rama Agri Genetics India Pvt Ltd, Kurnool in WP No 31342 of 2018 on the order of Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh dt: 31-08-2018 is not convincing and is not acceptable. The Licensing Authority Additional Director of Agriculture (Seeds), O/o Commissioner & Director of Agriculture, Andhra Pradesh, Guntur (3rd Respondent) passed the following order.

In view of the circumstances stated above there are no reasonable grounds to consider the explanation of the petitioner in the WP No 31342/2018 & orders are passed accordingly that the license of M/s Sri Rama Agri Genetics India Pvt Ltd, Kurnool having

its License No. KNL/O7/ADDL.DA/CSL/2014/170 is hereby suspended for a period of one (1) year from the date of this order.

Additional Director of Agriculture (Seeds) & Licensing Authority
O/o C & DA, AP, Guntur.

То

M/s Sri Rama Agri Genetics India Pvt. Ltd., H.No.11-68, Ground Floor-2, Siddeswara Apartments, Krishna Nagar, Kurnool-2.